

# PCT

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau

# INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7: WO 00/24376 (11) International Publication Number: A61K 9/107, 31/05, 47/10, 47/12, 47/18, A1 (43) International Publication Date: 4 May 2000 (04.05.00) A61P 23/00 (74) Agents: STEELE, Gregory, W. et al.; Abbott Laboratories, PCT/US99/24347 (21) International Application Number: Dept. 377/AP6D-2, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, 1L 60064-6050 (US). (22) International Filing Date: 19 October 1999 (19.10.99) (30) Priority Data: (81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, 23 October 1998 (23.10.98) US CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, 09/178,347 09/354,017 15 July 1999 (15.07.99) US NL, PT, SE). (71) Applicant: ABBOTT LABORATORIES [US/US]; Dept. Published 377/AP6D-2, 100 Abbott Park Road, Abbott Park, IL With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the 60064-6050 (US). claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of (72) Inventors: MAY, Thomas; 520 Merill Lane, Grayslake, IL amendments. 60030 (US). HOFSTETTER, John; 1001 Stockton Court, Vernon Hills, IL 60061 (US). OLSON, Kathleen, L.; 1441 West Warner Avenue, Chicago, IL 60631 (US). MENON, Sukumaran, K.; 18045 Pond Ridge Circle, Gurnee, IL 60031 (US). MIKRUT, Bernard, A.; 275 Hickory Court, Lake Bluff, IL 60044 (US). OVENSHIRE, Clyton, S.; 1016 Glenlake Avenue, Park Ridge, IL 60068 (US). RHODES, Lawrence, John; 448 North Crooked Lake Lane, Lindenhurst, IL 60046 (US). SPEICHER, Earl, R.; 510 Springside Lane, Buffalo Grove, IL 60089 (US). WATERSON, James, R.; 587 Edington Lane, Gurnee, IL 60031 (US).

#### (54) Title: PROPOFOL COMPOSITION

#### (57) Abstract

The present invention is directed to a sterile pharmaceutical composition comprising a propofol containing oil—in—water-emulsion-formulation having as an antimicrobial agent, a member selected from the group consisting of benzyl alcohol and sodium ethylene diamine tetraacctate; benzethonium chloride; and benzyl alcohol and sodium benzoate.

## FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	Fl	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	S.N	Senegal
ΑU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	T.J	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
ВJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	m.	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	<b>Iceland</b>	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	<b>UZ</b>	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP.	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugosłavia
СН	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
Cl	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

## **Propofol Composition**

#### 5 Field of the Invention

The present Invention relates to pharmaceutical compositions containing 2,6-diisopropylphenol.

#### Background of the Invention

Propofol (2,6-diisopropylphenol) is an injectable anesthetic which has hypnotic properties and can be used to induce and maintain general anesthesia and sedation.

Injectable anesthetics such as propofol are administered directly into the bloodstream. This results in a rapid onset of anesthesia influenced almost entirely by the rate at which the anesthetic agent crosses the blood-brain barrier. Therefore, the anesthetic agent must have sufficient lipid solubility to be able to cross this barrier and depress the relevant mechanisms of the brain. Propofol is poorly water soluble and therefore is generally formulated as an emulsion. However, propofol containing emulsions have been shown to support microbial growth. Therefore it is desirable to formulate propofol emulsions in a manner in which microbial growth is prevented. Disodium EDTA (ethylenediamine tetraacetate) has been shown to delay, but not prevent, the onset of microbial growth in propofol emulsions. See U.S. Patent No. 5,714,520.

Accordingly it is an object of the present invention to provide a propofol containing pharmaceutical composition that provides antimicrobial benefits above that found in existing compositions and/or prevents the onset of microbial growth in such compositions.

25

#### Summary of the Invention

The present invention is directed to a sterile pharmaceutical composition comprising a propofol containing oil-in-water emulsion formulation having as an antimicrobial agent, a member selected from the group consisting of benzyl alcohol; benzyl alcohol and disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate; benzethonium chloride; and benzyl alcohol and sodium benzoate.

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

The term "antimicrobial" means an agent which delays onset or retards rate of growth to less than 1 logarithmic increase over a 24 hour period as compared to an unpreserved formulation.

The composition of the present invention comprises an oil-in-water emulsion in which the 2,6-diisopropylphenol, either alone or dissolved in a water immiscible solvent, for example a vegetable oil, is emulsified with water by means of surfactant.

Typically the solvent is an oil such as soybean oil, safflower oil, cottonseed oil, corn oil, sunflower oil, arachis oil, castor oil, or olive oil. Preferably the oil is soybean oil.

Alternatively the solvent can be an ester of a medium or long chain fatty acid, for example a mono-,di-, or triglyceride; or a compound such as ethyl oleate, isopropyl myristate, isopropyl palmitate, a glycerol ester or a polyoxyl hydrogenated castor oil. Other suitable solvents may be marine oils, for example cod liver or other fish derived oils, or fractionated oils, such as fractionated coconut oil or modified soybean oil. The composition of present invention may also comprise a mixture of two or more of the above water immiscible solvents.

The 2,6-diisopropylphenol, either alone or dissolved in the water immiscible solvent, is emulsified in an aqueous medium with the aid of a surfactant. Suitable surfactants include synthetic non-ionic surfactants, for example ethoxylated ethers and

20 ethoxylated esters, polypropylene polyethylene block copolymers, and phosphatides, as for example egg and soy phosphatides. Preferably, the surfactant is egg phosphatide.

Preferred compositions of the present invention comprise from 0.1 to 5.0% by weight, preferably 1 to 2% by weight, and most preferably 1% by weight of 2,6-diisopropylphenol; from to 1 to 30% by weight, preferably 10 to 20% by weight of a water 25 immiscible solvent; and from about 0.2 to 2.0% by weight, preferably 1.2% by weight of a surfactant. The compositions of the present invention can also contain pH adjusting agents such as sodium hydroxide or hydrochloric acid so they can be formulated at a physiologically neutral pH.

The compositions of the present invention may also be made isotonic by the 30 incorporation of a suitable additive such as glycerol.

The balance of the composition is made up with water.

The antimicrobial systems utilized in the compositions of the present invention arc selected from the group consisting of benzyl alcohol; benzyl alcohol and disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate; benzethonium chloride; and benzyl alcohol and sodium benzoate. The concentration of the antimicrobial agents in the final composition will vary

- 5 depending on the particular agent or agents selected. For instance in a preferred composition of the invention the amount of benzyl alcohol is in the range of about 0.0175% to 0.9% (w/v), more preferably about 0.07% to about 0.45%, most preferred in the range of 0.15%. In an alternate preferred composition of the invention, the amount of benzyl alcohol is about 0.07% to about 0.9%, optionally including an amount of disodium
- 10 EDTA of about 0.005%. Yet another embodiment provides a composition including an amount of benzethonium chloride of about 0..01% to about 0.1%. Optionally, the composition of the present invention includes 0.07% sodium benzoate. The most preferred compositions of the present invention include benzyl alcohol and sodium benzoate. The compositions of the present invention may be prepared by conventional processes as for 15 example that disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,714,520.

A particularly preferred composition of the present invention is as set forth below.

TABLE 1 (weight percent)

20	Component	Broad Range	Preferred Range	Particularly Preferred Amount
	2,6- diisopropylphenol	0.1-5.0	1.0-2.0	1.0
	Soybean Oil	1.0-30.0	10.0-30.0	10.0
	Egg Phosphatide	0.2-2.0	0.7-2.0	1.2
25	Benzyl alcohol	0.0175-0.9	0.07-0.45	0.15
	Sodium benzoate	0-0.07	0.07	0.07
	Glycerol	2.0-3.0	2.35-2.75	2.25
	Sodium Hydroxide	q.s.	q.s.	q.s.
20	Water for Injection	to 100	to 100	to 100

The compositions of the present invention may be used as is conventional in the art, e.g., for the induction of anesthesia prior to maintenance with a conventional inhalation anesthetic; as a sole anesthetic agent for short duration, by repeated administration, or by continuous infusion. The compositions of the invention may be used as a sole anesthetic agent of longer duration.

The invention is illustrated by the following representative examples:

10

#### Example 1

The compositions of the present invention may be formulated following procedures well known to those skilled in the art. Specific reference is made to U.S. Patent 5,714,520 which is hereby incorporated by reference.

15

#### Example 2

Propofol oil-in-water emulsions containing 0.45% benzyl alcohol/0.005% disoduim EDTA, 0.035% benzyl alcohol/0.005% disodium EDTA, 0.45% benzyl alcohol/0.07% sodium benzoate, and 0.035%benzyl alcohol/0.07% sodium benzoate were tested by the USP 23 preservative effectiveness test as described in United States

- Pharmacopoeia 23-NF 18, 1995 Ed.. Chapter 51, which is incorporated herein by reference. Briefly, this involves inoculating the test solution with 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> test organisms per milliliter and then determining the number of surviving organisms after 7, 14, 21, and 28 days incubation at 20-25 °C using standard microbiological methods. Day 0 data is not required by USP 23 but was included in this study. A filtration and buffer wash method was used to remove the inactivating agents for purposes of recovering the microorganisms, but other equivalent methods can also be validated for use. The USP test organisms include the bacteria Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonoas aeruginosa, a yeast (Candida albicans), and a mold (Aspergillus niger). In order to meet the criteria of the USP 23 preservative effectiveness test, the bacteria must demonstrate a
- 30 90% (1 logarithmic) reduction at Day 7 and a 99.9% reduction (3 logarithmic) reduction at Day 14 from the initial inoculum level. The initial inoculum level can either be calculated

knowing the stock culture concentration or by using a buffer control instead of the test solution. The results, using formulations which are 10% fat emulsions, are given below in Tables 2 through 5 where the number reported in the number of organisms pre milliliter.

ND means not detected, i.e., below the levels of detection by the assay. Although same formulations did not meet the criteria of the USP test, in most cases they met the definition of "anitmicrobial".

TABLE 2 (0.45% Benzyl Alcohol/0.005% Disodium EDTA)

10	
15	

Organism Time	A. niger	C. albicans	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus
Inoculum per ml product	390,000	440,000	750,000	460,000	610,000
0 Hr.	300,000	360,000	380,000	310,000	380,000
Day 7	210,000	340,000	680	3,000	47,000
Day 14	210,000	350,000	190	200	7,200
Day 21	3,400	270,000	40	60	4,300
Day 28	130	105,000	10	10	1,020

20

TABLE 3 (0.035% Benzyl Alcohol/0.005% Disodium EDTA)

3	0

25

Organism Time	A. niger	C. albicans	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus
lnoculum per ml product	390,000	440,000	750,000	460,000	610,000
0 Hr.	300,000	310,000	360,000	310,000	430,000
Day 7	300,000	330,000	40	105,000	36,000
Day 14	210,000	310,000	<10 ND	68,000	3,500
Day 21	120,000	320,000	<10 ND	67,000	740
Day 28	29,000	110,000	>10 ND	38,000	170

TABLE 4 (0.45 Benzyl Alcohol/0.07 Sodium Benzoate)

Organism A. niger C. albicans E. coli P. aeruginosa S. aureus Time 390,000 440,000 750,000 Inoculum 460,000 610,000 per mi product 0 Hr. 290,000 340,000 380,000 440,000 390,000 260,000 390,000 Day 7 86,000 101,000 30,000 29,000 Day 14 350,000 62,000 14,900 1,350 Day 21 22,000 203,000 80,000 2,800 100 Day 28 290 87,000 76,000 150 10

15

10

5

TABLE 5 (0.035Benzyl Alcohol/0.07%Sodium Benzoate)

Organism A. niger C. albicans E. coli P. aeruginosa S. aureus 20 Time 390,000 440,000 Inoculum 750,000 460,000 610,000 per ml product 0 Hr. 370,000 450,000 420,000 550,000 520,000 25 Day 7 250,000 530,000 8,800,000 3,500,000 310,000 Day 14 130,000 410.000 7,100,000 3,400,000 92,000 Day 21 41,000 440,000 5,800,000 30,000 49,000 Day 28 13,000 300,000 2,180,000 7,000 22,100

30

Example 3

The antimicrobial properties of various propofol formulations were determined by a spiked hold time study. Briefly, a propofol formulation is inoculated to achieve approximately 100 organisms per 10 mL sample. The organisms used include Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Candida albicans, 35 Bacillus subtilis, Aspergillus niger, and Clostridium sporogenes. The inoculated samples are held for various times and then filtered in duplicate. The filters are washed with buffer and then placed on appropriate agar growth media.

The antimicrobial agents should reduce the growth rate such that there is less than a l logarithm increase within a 24 hour period.

C. albicans presented the most resistance to the preservative system. The C.

5 albicans results from the spiked hold time study are shown in Table 6 for various propofol formulations. E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and B. subtilis also demonstrated some resistance to the antimicrobial agents (data not shown).

The remaining test organisms increased less than 2-fold over the 7 day test period. The results are shown in organisms per mL of test solution. A value of >300 indicates too numerous to count; these data points may still meet the acceptance criteria of less than 1 logarithmic increase. In the Tables, BA = Benzyl Alcohol, NB = Sodium Benzoate. The percent of benzyl alcohol is indicated in w/v for each formulation. The percent Sodium benzoate, when present, is 0.07% (w/v).

15

20

TABLE 6

Formulation	0 hours	24 hours	48 hours	7 days
0.45 BA/NB	37	35	28	56
0.15 BA only	59	102	>300	>300
0.15 BA/NB	59	45	>300	>300
0.13 BA/NB	66	57	>300	>300
0.10 BA/NB	53	59	>300	>300
0.07 BA/NB	34	120	>300	>300
0.035 BA/NB	33	125	>300	>300
0.0175 BA/NB	38	185	>300	>300

30

## Example 4

Propofol oil-in-water emulsions containing 0.45% benzyl alcohol/0.07% sodium benzoate, 0.035% benzyl alcohol/0.07% sodium benzoate, 0.035% benzyl alcohol/0.005% disodium EDTA, and 0.045% benzyl alcohol/0.005% disodium EDTA were tested by the USP 23 preservative effectiveness test as described in Example 2. The results, using formulations which are 1% fat emulsions, are given below in Tables 7 through 10 where the number reported in the number of organisms per milliliter. Lowering the percent oil, increased the effectiveness of the preservative(s) compound 10 in Example 2.

TABLE 7 (0.45%Benzyl Alcohol/0.07%Sodium Benzoate)

:	Organism Time	A. niger	C. albicans	<u>E. coli</u>	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus
15	Inoculum  per ml  product	390,000	440,000	750,000	460,000	610,000
	0 Hr.	470,000	1,000	<10 ND	1,200	<100
	Day 7	33,000	<100	<10	<10	<100
20	Day 14	<10 ND	<100 ND	<10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND
	Day 21	<10 ND	<100 ND	<10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND
	Day 28	<10 ND	<100 ND	>10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND

TABLE 8 (0.035%Benzyl Alcohol/0.07%Sodium Benzoate)

Organism Time	A. niger	C. albicans	<u>E. coli</u>	P. aeruginosa	<u>S. aureus</u>
Inoculum  per ml  product	390,000	440,000	750,000	460,000	610,000
0 Hr.	420,000	1,050	<10 ND	5,500	20
Day 7	200,000	<10 ND	<10 ND	5,200	<10 ND
Day 14	14,000	<10 ND	<10 ND	3,700	<10 ND
Day 21	14,000	<10 ND	<10 ND	4,100	<10 ND
Day 28	17,000	<10 ND	>10 ND	5,000	<10 ND

TABLE 9 (0.035%Benzyl Alcohol/0.005% Disodium EDTA)

	Organism	A. niger	C. albicans	<u>E. coli</u>	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus
	Time					
20	Inoculum  per ml  product	390,000	440,000	750,000	460,000	610,000
	0 Hr.	460,000	112,000	<10 ND	680	100
	Day 7	290,000	<10 ND	<10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND
	Day 14	4,100	<10 ND	<10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND
25	Day 21	2,900	<10 ND	<10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND
	Day 28	2,700	<10 ND	>10 ND	<10 ND	<100 ND

9

5

10

TABLE 10 (0.45%Benzyl Alcohol/0.005% Disodium EDTA)

_
.)
_

10

Organism	A. niger	C. albicans	<u>E. coli</u>	P. aeruginosa	<u>S. aureus</u>
Time					
Inoculum	390,000	440,000	750,000	460,000	610,000
per ml					
product					-
0 Hr.	320,000	300	<10	<10	<10
			ND	ND	ND
Day 7	260	<10	<10	<10	<10
		ND	ND	ND	ND
Day 14	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Day 21	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Day 28	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

15

## Example 5

Propofol oil-in-water emulsions containing 0.45%/benzyl alcohol/0.005%

20 disodium EDTA and 0.45% benzyl alcohol/0.07% sodium benzoate were tested by the USP 23 preservative effectiveness test as described in Example 2. The results, using formulations which are 1% fat emulsions of a medium chain triglyceride oil, are given below in Tables 11 and 12 where the number reported is the number of organisms per milliliter.

TABLE 11 (0.45%Benzyl Alcohol/0.005% Disodium EDTA)

Organism C. albicans E. coli A. niger P. aeruginosa S. aureus Time 390,000 Inoculum 440,000 750,000 460,000 610,000 per ml product 0 Hr. 490,000 180 <10 <10 <10 ND ND ND 28,000 Day 7 <10 <10 <10 <10 ND ND ND ND Day 14 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 ND ND ND ND ND Day 21 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 ND ND ND ND ND Day 28 <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 ND ND ND ND ND

5

TABLE 12 (0.45%Benzyl Alcohol/0.07% Sodium Benzoate)

15	Organism Time	A. niger	C. albicans	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus
•	Inoculum  per ml  product	390,000	<del>-440,000</del>	750,000	460,000	610,000
20	0 Hr.	420,000	260	<10 ND	1,780	<10 ND
	Day 7	20,000	<10	<10	<10	<10
			ND	ND	ND	ND
	Day 14	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Day 21	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
25	Day 28	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

#### **Claims**

 A sterile pharmaceutical composition comprising a propofol containing oilin-water emulsion having as an antimicrobial agent, a member selected from the group
 consisting of:

benzyl alcohol and sodium ethylene diamine tetraacetate;

benzethonium chloride; and

benzyl alcohol and sodium benzoate.

- 2. A composition according to claim 1 where the propofol is emulsified by means of a surfactant.
  - 3. A composition according to claim 1 where the surfactant is an ethoxylated ether or ester, a polypropylene polyethylene block copolymer or a phosphatide.

15

- 4. A composition according to claim 1 where the surfactant is egg phosphatide.
- 5. A composition according to claim 1 where the propofol is dissolved in a water-immiscible solvent.

20

- 6. A composition according to claim 5 where the solvent is soy bean oil, safflower oil, cottonseed oil, corn oil, sunflower oil, arachis oil, castor oil or olive oil.
  - 7. A sterile oil-in-water emulsion pharmaceutical composition comprising:
- 25 from 0.1 to 5.0 weight percent propofol;

from 2.0 to 30 weight percent solvent;

from 0.2 to 2.0 weight percent surfactant:

2.0 to 3.0% weight percent glycerol;

from 0.0175 to 0.9 weight percent of an antimicrobial agent selected from the 30 group consisting of:

benzyl alcohol and sodium ethylene diamine tetraacetate.

benzethonium chloride, and,

benzyl alcohol and sodium benzoate, and the balance of the composition being water.

- 8. A method inducing anesthesia comprising administration of an effective 5 amount of the composition of claim 1.
  - 9. The method of Claim 7 wherein the anesthesia is induced prior to treatment with an inhalation anesthetic.
- 10 10. The method of claim 8 whercin the composition is the sole anesthetic agent.
  - 11. A method of inducing anesthesia comprising administration of an effective amount of the composition of claim 7.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Into one Application No PCT/US 99/24347

A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02–03) cited in the application column 4, line 10 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 53 claims; examples  A WO 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, line 9 – line 18 claims; example 1  ———————————————————————————————————	4 0: 100				
B. FELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  IPC 7 A61K  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim  A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02-03) cited in the application column 4, line 10 - line 45 column 5, line 1 - line 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03-27) page 3, line 9 - line 18 claims; example 1  ———————————————————————————————————	IPC 7	A61K9/107 A61K31/05 A61K47/	10 A61K47/12 A	61K47/18	
B. PELDS SEARCHED  Minthum occumentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  TPC 7 A6 IK  Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)  Category* Chatton of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim  A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02-03) cited in the application column 4, line 10 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03-27) page 3, line 9 – line 18 claims; example 1  ———————————————————————————————————	According t	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classifi	ication and IPC		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category* Clation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim  A	B. FIELDS	SEARCHED			
Electronic data base consulted during the International electric (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)  C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02–03) cited in the application column 4, 11ne 10 – 11ne 45 column 5, 11ne 1 – 11ne 45 column 5, 11ne 1 – 11ne 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, 11ne 9 – 11ne 18 claims; example 1  Puther documents are listed in the continuation of box C.  X Petent family members are seted in arnex.  *Special categories of cited documents:  *A document defining the general state of the cit which is not considered to be of particular relevance.  *Considered to be of particular relevance.  *I later document published after the international fling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but check to understand the principle or theory unclosing the published nor or after the international fling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but check to understand the principle or theory unclosing the published after the international fling date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but check to understand the principle or theory unclosing the published after the international dates or priority date and not in conflict with the application but check to understand the principle or theory unclosing the published after the international dates or priority date and not in conflict with the application but check to understand the principle or theory unclosely flower in the considered to be of particular relevance. The claims of the published after the international dates and not in conflict with the application of the conflict of the priority and the conflict of the published after the international dates.  **Courser of operations to include a conflict of the course and the published	IPC 7	A61K			
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT  Category* Clastion of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  Relevant to claim  A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02–03) cited in the application column 4, line 10 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, line 9 – line 18 claims; example 1  ———————————————————————————————————					
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02–03) cited in the application column 4, line 10 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, line 9 – line 18 claims; example 1  Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.  *Special categories of cited documents:  *A document defining the general state of the art which is not correlated to be of particular relevance. The international filing date of priority data and not in conflict with the application but claim of the control particular relevance to the data of another which is ofted to establish the publication date of another which is ofted to establish the publication date of another claims of the considered in the considered in the control cannot be considered in over or cannot be considered for the control test on other special reason (as especiated)  **Gocument of particular relevances the claimed Invention cannot be considered in the release the special control or control to be considered to be of priority data and not in control be considered to the control of the provise an inventive state when the control of control to be considered to the control of the provise and the priority data and not in control to be considered to the control of priority data and not in control to be considered to be of priority data and not in control to expect the control of priority data and not in control to expect the control of priority data and not in control to be considered to be of priority and the	Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data b	езе and, where practical, search term	s used)	
A US 5 714 520 A (JONES CHRISTOPHER BUCHAN ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02–03) cited in the application column 4, line 10 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, line 9 – line 18 claims; example 1  ———————————————————————————————————	C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998–02–03) citted in the application column 4, line 10 – line 45 column 5, line 1 – line 53 claims; examples  A W0 97 10814 A (VESIFACT AG; WEDER HANS G (CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, line 9 – line 18 claims; example 1  Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.  Special categories of cited documents:  Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the order special filing date.  Secure of the continuation of the	Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	elevant passages	Fleievant to claim No.	
(CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997–03–27) page 3, line 9 - line 18 claims; example 1  Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.  X Patent family members are listed in annex.  *Special categories of cited documents:  A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. The considered to be of particular relevance and the principle or theory underlying the invention which is orded to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention or other special reason (as appecified)  **To active document which may throw doubts on priority claim(a) or which is orded to establish the publication date of another challon or other special reason (as appecified)	A	ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998-02- cited in the application column 4, line 10 - line 45 column 5, line 1 - line 53	R BUCHAN 03)	1-11	
Special categories of cited documents:  *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  *E" earlier document but published on or after the international fling date  *I" later document published after the international cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention invention.  *X" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is ofted to establish the publication date of another charlon or other special reason (as specified)  *X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the	A	(CH)) 27 March 1997 (1997-03-27)   page 3, line 9 - line 18	R HANS G	1-11	
Special categories of cited documents:  *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  *E" earlier document but published on or after the international fling date  *I" later document published after the international cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention invention.  *X" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is ofted to establish the publication date of another charlon or other special reason (as specified)  *X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the					
*A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international fling date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(e) or which is ofted to establish the publication date of another challon or other special reason (as specified)  "I document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the			Patent family members are	sted in annex.	
other means  The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  The document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  The document member of the same patent tamily	"A" docume conside "E" earlier de fläng de "L" docume which is chation "O" docume other n "P" docume later th	ont defining the general state of the ext which is not cred to be of particular relevance locument but published on or after the intermational ate in which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is ofted to establish the publication date of another or other special reason (as apecified) with referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or nears in the priority date claimed.	or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, such combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person sidiled in the art.		
Date of the actual completion of the international search  24 February 2000  02/03/2000				al search report	
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Riswritk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 851 epo ni, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016  Authorized officer  Epskamp, S		nailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2  NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx, 31 651 epo ni.	Authorized officer		

## **INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

I....national application No.

PCT/US 99/24347

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Remark: Although claim 8-11 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box ii Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)
This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

atformation on patent family members

Intex >nel Application No PCT/US 99/24347

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5714520	Α	03-02-1998	DE	19509828 A	19-09-1996
			FR	2731617 A	20-09-1996
			WO	9629064 A	26-09-1996
			GB	2298789 A.B	18-09-1996
			IT	MI950529 A	22-09-1995
			US	5908869 A	01-06-1999
			US	5731355 A	24-03-1998
			US	5731356 A	24-03-1998
WO 9710814	A	27-03-1997	AU	6708096 A	09-04-1997